Case 3:16-cv-02457-RS Document 19 Filed 06/29/16 Page 1 of 5

1	NINA F. LOCKER, State Bar No. 123838			
2	Email: nlocker@wsgr.com JEROME F. BIRN, JR., State Bar No. 128561			
3	Email: jbirn@wsgr.com JONI OSTLER, State Bar No. 230009			
4	Email: jostler@wsgr.com NICHOLAS R. MILLER, State Bar No. 274243			
5	Email: nmiller@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			
6	Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road			
7	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100			
8	Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation, Randal J. Kirk, and Rick L. Sterling			
10	, o			
11	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14				
15	PATRICK M. and DEBORAH P. GIBRALL,	CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS		
16	Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly) Situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
17	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		
18	v.)			
19	INTREXON CORPORATION, RANDAL J.			
20	KIRK, and RICK L. STERLING,			
21	Defendants.			
22))			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE No.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS

WHEREAS, on May 5, 2016, Plaintiffs Patrick M. and Deborah P. Gibrall, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, filed a Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws ("Complaint") against Intrexon Corporation ("Intrexon") and certain of its current executives, Randal J. Kirk and Rick L. Sterling (collectively, "Defendants");

WHEREAS, on May 3, 2016, a substantively similar complaint with the caption *Ryan Hoffman v. Intrexon Corp. et al.* (Case No. 3:16-cv-02398-RS) (the "*Hoffman* Action") was filed with the Court;

WHEREAS, Defendants signed and returned waivers of service and Defendants' initial response to the Complaint is currently due on July 11, 2016;

WHEREAS, this action is governed by the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), 15 U.S.C. §78u-4 *et seq.*, and the parties anticipate that the Court will consolidate the *Gibrall* and *Hoffman* actions and will appoint a lead plaintiff, and that the court-appointed lead plaintiff will file a consolidated complaint superseding previously filed complaints, including the Complaint; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that efficiency for the courts and the parties in proceeding under the PSLRA dictates that responding to any complaint should be deferred in light of the foregoing.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the respective parties hereto, that:

- 1. Defendants shall not be required to, and shall not waive any rights, arguments, or defenses by waiting to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action.
- 2. After the appointment of a lead plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B), lead plaintiff and Defendants shall promptly meet and confer regarding a schedule for the filing of a consolidated complaint or designation of an operative complaint, and a briefing schedule for Defendants' anticipated motion(s) to dismiss. The parties shall submit a joint stipulation with a proposed schedule no later than ten (10) business days following the appointment of lead plaintiff.

-1-

CASE No.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS

Case 3:16-cv-02457-RS Document 19 Filed 06/29/16 Page 3 of 5

1	3. This Stipulation is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking any interim		
2	relief.		
3	4. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any of Defendants'		
4	rights or positions in law or in equity, or as a waiver of any defenses that Defendants would		
5	otherwise have, including, without limitation, jurisdictional defenses.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8	DATED: June 29, 2016	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
10		/s/ Joni Ostler JONI OSTLER	
11		650 Page Mill Road	
12	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone (650) 493-9300		
13		Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: nlocker@wsgr.com	
14		jbirn@wsgr.com jostler@wsgr.com	
15		nmiller@wsgr.com	
16		Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation,	
17		Randal J. Kirk, and Rick L. Sterling	
18			
19	DATED: June 29, 2016	POMERANTZ LLP	
20		/s/ <i>Jennifer Pafiti</i> JENNIFER PAFITI	
21			
22 23		468 North Camden Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Telephone: (818) 532-6499 Email: jpafiti@pomlaw.com	
24		POMERANTZ LLP	
25		Jeremy A. Lieberman J. Alexander Hood II	
26		600 Third Avenue, 20 th Floor New York, New York 10016	
27		Telephone: (212) 661-1100 Facsimile (212) 661-8665	
28		Email: jalieberman@pomlaw.com Email: ahood@pomlaw.com	
	STIPLILATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME2-		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS

Case 3:16-cv-02457-RS Document 19 Filed 06/29/16 Page 4 of 5

1	POMERANTZ LLP
2	Patrick V. Dahlstrom Ten South La Salle Street, Suite 3505
3	Chicago Illinois 60603
4	Telephone: (312) 377-1181 Facsimile: (312) 377-1184 Email: pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com
5	
6	BRONSTEIN, GEWIRTZ & GROSSMAN Peretz Bronstein, Esq. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4600
7	New York, NY 10165
8	Telephone: (212) 697-6484 Facsimile: (212) 697-7296 Email: peretz@bgandg.com
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME -3-TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that: Defendants shall not be required to, and shall not waive any rights, arguments, or 3 1. 4 defenses by waiting to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action. 5 2. After the appointment of a lead plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B), 6 lead plaintiff and Defendants shall promptly meet and confer regarding a schedule for the filing 7 of a consolidated complaint or designation of an operative complaint, and a briefing schedule for 8 Defendants' anticipated motion(s) to dismiss. The parties shall submit a joint stipulation with a proposed schedule no later than ten (10) business days following the appointment of lead 9 10 plaintiff. 3. This Order is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking any interim 11 12 relief. 13 4. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as a waiver of any of Defendants' rights or positions in law or in equity, or as a waiver of any defenses that Defendants would otherwise 14 15 have, including, without limitation, jurisdictional defenses. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 18 DATED: 6/29/16 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02457-RS